



TRANSFER PRICING ALERT

06 December 2024

Hon'ble Delhi High Court holds Birlasoft's benchmarking of STP units at entity level, no functional difference in transactions

FACTS OF THE CASE

- Birlasoft Ltd is engaged in the business of software development and related services. The assessee has six units, which includes three units that have been set up under the Software Technology Park [STP] Scheme. Two of its units are located overseas – one in Singapore and the other in Australia.
- During AY 2004-05, the assessee had entered into international transactions with its Associated Enterprises [AE's] in USA & UK to provide software services, which were in the nature of developing and supplying customized software and related software services. Assessee used Transactional Net Margin Method [TNMM] as Most Appropriate Method [MAM] for benchmarking the captioned transaction with the ratio of Operating Profit (OP) to Total Cost [OP/TC] as the Profit Level Indicator [PLI]. It had computed the mean PLI of the comparable entities at 11.7% and its PLI at 13.86%.
- The return was picked up for scrutiny and matter was referred by Assessing Officer [AO] to Transfer Pricing Officer [TPO]. The TPO conducted its own studies and finalized a list of thirteen comparable entities and determined the mean PLI of the comparable entities at 14.01%.



- Post above, TPO conducted unit wise margin analysis by considering each STP as “Enterprise” as defined u/s 92F of the Income Tax Act, 1961 [the Act]. The TPO found that the profit margin of the new unit (NOIDA-II unit) was 17.11% [Comparable PLI-14.01%] and therefore no transfer pricing adjustments were recommended in respect of the said unit. However, in respect of the other two units [NOIDA-I unit and Chennai unit], the PLI was lower and the TPO directed the transfer pricing adjustment of Rs. 4.96 Crs and AO incorporated the same among other adjustments. There was no dispute regarding the appropriateness of method and PLI used for benchmarking by assessee.
- **CIT(A):** Aggrieved by above, the assessee filed an appeal before CIT(A). CIT(A) rejected the TPO’s unit-wise segmentation on the grounds that there were no significant functional differences between the STP units and accordingly deleted the adjustment holding entity level TNMM as MAM for benchmarking transactions with AE.
- **ITAT:** ITAT declined to interfere with CIT(A)’s order stating that TPO erred in ignoring the unity of the business, administrative control and unity of funds across three STPs.

ISSUES BEFORE THE HON’BLE DELHI HIGH COURT

- Whether the ITAT was right in setting aside the order of the AO on the question of transfer pricing on the following accounts:

- (a) Benchmarking was to be done separately and the profits had to be determined of the entity as a whole;
- (b) FAR analysis in respect of three units was not possible;
- (c) There were functional differences between the three units.

RULING OF THE HON’BLE DELHI HIGH COURT

- The Hon’ble HC found that the services rendered by all three STP units were functionally similar and governed by a single agreement with AEs. Also, there was interlacing of funds and unity of management in case of 3 STPs.
- Further, the Court observed that uncontrolled transactions margins at segmental level should be compared with controlled transaction margins at segmental level so as to draw a parallel comparison. However, the TPO benchmarked each of the three STP units separately and the profit margin of external uncontrolled transactions was determined at entity level and not on a unit or segmental level. Further, HC observed that it would be impermissible to use uncontrolled comparable transaction with different parameters than that of controlled international transactions.
- HC rejected the unit-wise approach, stating that it failed to consider the singularity of agreement, unity of operations, and the overall profit profile.



- HC emphasized that there were no substantial functional differences between the units, as all provided similar software development and maintenance services to the same AEs.
- HC upheld the assessee's contention that entity-level benchmarking under the TNMM was appropriate.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Delhi HC upheld that entity-level benchmarking shall be more appropriate as compared to undertaking wise benchmarking using TNMM when undertakings are functionally similar and governed by a single agreement.
- The judgement also highlights the importance of unified agreements and interlinked operations in transfer pricing assessments while applying entity level TNMM.



OUR PRESENCE

Mumbai

701, Leela Business Park, Andheri Kurla Road,
Andheri (E), Mumbai 400059
Tel: +91 (22) 6672 9999

Kolkata

Constantia, "B" Wing, 7th floor,
11, Dr. U.N. Brahmachari Street,
Kolkata - 700 017
Tel: +91 (33) 4002 1485

Ahmedabad

RE11, Awfis Space, 2nd Floor,
Near Vikramnagar, Iskcon, Ambli,
Ahmedabad - 380 058
Tel: +91 99792 01702

Bengaluru

No. 45, 1st Floor, 2nd Main,
Sankey Road, (Above Indian
Bank) Lower Palace Orchards,
Bengaluru - 560 003
Tel: +91 80 4372 7175/76

Chennai

5B, A Block, 5th Floor, Mena
Kampala Arcade,
New No. 18 & 20, Thiagaraya
Road, T. Nagar,
Chennai - 600 017
Tel: +91 44 2815 4192

Hyderabad

Sreshtha Marvel, 3rd Floor,
136, Kondapur Main Road,
Gachibowli
Hyderabad - 500 032
Tel: +91 98451 28220

Jaipur

1st Floor, 512, James colony,
Sector - 3, Vidyadhar Nagar,
Jaipur - 302 039
Tel: +91 98405 17540

Kolkata

Bagrodia Niket, 1st Floor,
19C, Sarat Bose Road,
Kolkata - 700 020
Tel: +91 98318 93398

Devarati, 1st Floor,
8. Dr. Rajendra Road,
Kolkata - 700 020
Tel: +91 33 4037 2700

Mumbai

42, Free Press
House, Nariman
Point, Mumbai -
400021
Tel: +91 22 2287 1806/1808

409, 4th Floor, Goyal Trade
Centre,
Shantivan, Borivali
(East), Mumbai - 400
066
Tel: +91 22 4016 9305

New Delhi

3rd Floor, 52-B,
Okhla Industrial Estate New Delhi - 110
020
Tel: +91 11 4711 9999

Pune

Off. No. 7 & 12, 2nd Floor,
Shivdarshan Chambers,
Opposite Hotel Jayashree,
457, Market Yard Road,
Pune - 411 037
Tel: +91 98 2249 3924

Rajkot

Rupareliya House, 1st Floor,
Astron Chowk,
Near Railway Underpass,
Rajkot - 360 001
Tel: +91 97268 10285

Surat

SNS Atria, B-Wing, 1st Floor,
Maharana Pratap Road, Vesu
Surat - 395 007
Tel: +91 261 3547260

Vadodara

204-207 Parshwa Complex, Near
Cash n Carry Ellora Park,
Vadodara - 390 023
Tel: +91 26 5239 2631

[dhc-desai-haribhakti](#) [@lifeatdhc](#) [@lifeatdhc](#) [@lifeatdhc](#) [@lifeatdhc](#)



Scan to View Website

Desai Haribhakti Consulting Pvt. Ltd. (DHC) state that, this publication has been carefully prepared, but it has been written in general terms and should be seen as broad guidance only. The publication cannot be relied upon to cover specific situations and you should not act, or refrain from acting, upon the information contained therein without obtaining specific professional advice. DHC, its partners, employees and agents do not accept or assume any liability or duty of care for any loss arising from any action taken or not taken by anyone in reliance upon the information in this publication or for any decision based on it.